



कार्यालय मुख्य आयुक्त
Office of the Chief Commissioner
सीजीएस्टी एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (जयपुर परिक्षेत्र), जयपुर
CGST & Central Excise (Jaipur Zone), Jaipur

F.No. GCCO/RTI/APP/219/2024-LEGAL

To

Sh. Badan Singh,
Plot No 7TA9,
Sector 7 Jawahar Nagar,
Near Jain Mandir,
Jaipur, Pin:302004

Sir,

Subject: - Online RTI application Registration No. GSTJP/R/E/24/00023 dated 01.02.2024, filed by Mr. Badan Singh, Plot No 7TA9, Sector 7 Jawahar Nagar, Near Jain Mandir, Jaipur, Pin:302004 - reg

Please refer to your RTI application Registration No. GSTJP/R/E/24/00023 dated 01.02.2024 for providing information under RTI Act, 2005.

2. On careful reading of the information sought by the applicant, it appears that the applicant expects that CPIO should go through the concerned file and deduce the answers (which will certainly be interpretation of what is available on file) in respect of the information sought by the applicant and then provide it to the applicant. This would amount to creation of information which this office or the CPIO is not expected to.

3. Further, the Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005 provides definition of 'information' as under:-

"Information means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force."

4. Further, the CPIO is not obligated to answer queries raised as what, why, how, etc. in the light of the decision dated 28.05.2014 of the Hon'ble CIC in the matter of Shri G. Senthil Kumar v. Directorate of Health & Family Welfare Services in F. No. CIC/SS/A/2013/000838-YA wherein it was held that interrogative queries viz. "How/Why/When" do not come under the ambit of RTI Act.

5. From the above, it appears that the information sought by the applicant does not qualify to be 'information' as provided in Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, it cannot be provided being the application not maintainable.

6. If you are not satisfied with above reply, you may prefer an appeal against the reply within 30 days of receipt of this reply to The Appellate Authority and Additional Commissioner, Office of the Chief Commissioner, CGST & Central Excise (JZ) Jaipur, New Central Revenue Building, Statue Circle, C-Scheme, Jaipur-302005.

Yours sincerely,

Signed by Anupama Saksena

Date: 29-02-2024 13:43:53

Reason: Approved
(Anupama Saksena)

CPIO & Assistant Commissioner

Copy to:-

1. Superintendent (Computer), CGST & Central Excise, Jaipur to upload on Zonal website.

New Central Revenue Building, Statue Circle, C-Scheme, Jaipur – 302005 (Raj.)

Phone: 0141-2385155; Fax: 0141-2385130, E-Mail: ccu-cexjpr@nic.in,

Website: www.cgstjaipur.gov.in, Twitter Handle : @CGSTJAIPURZONE

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या) :	GSTJP/R/E/24/00023	Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख) :	01/02/2024
Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार) :	Online Receipt	Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा) :	English
Name (नाम) :	Badan Singh	Gender (लिंग) :	Male
Address (पता) :	Plot No 7TA9, Sector 7 Jawahar Nagar, Near Jain Mandir, JAIPUR, Pin:302004		
State (राज्य) :	Rajasthan	Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :	+91-9784813007
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	badansingh1@gmail.com		
Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) :	Urban	Education Status :	Graduate
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	No	Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian
Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान) :	10) (original recipient)	Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार) :	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person? (क्या यह किसी व्यक्ति के जीवन अथवा स्वतंत्रता से संबंधित है?) :	No(Normal)	Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है) :	Anupama Saksena, CCO, JZ
Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी):	Your kind attention is invited towards my CPGRAM Grievance NO CBOEC?E/2024/0000796 Dated 31.01.2024 which has been closed with the remarks that the address of the complainant was found to be fake. Hence the same complaint was treated as anonymous/Pseudonymous and was closed in terms of para 6.1 of the complaint handling policy of the CBEC. Now kindly provide the following details under RTI Act :- 01. Name of the officer who verified that the address is fake and the documents by which he declared my address as fake. 02. what was the method adopted by the officer to verify my address.		
<input type="button" value="Print"/> <input type="button" value="Save"/> <input type="button" value="Close"/>			